

Political Cultures: Exploring the Long-Run Determinants of Values Transmission

Lisa Blaydes Justin Grimmer

Stanford University


October 25, 2013

National Political Cultures?

Previous studies of political culture have assumed the existence of a single, national culture within each country analyzed.


National Political Cultures?

Previous studies of political culture have assumed the existence of a single, national culture within each country analyzed.



National Political Cultures?


Previous studies of political culture have assumed the existence of a single, national culture within each country analyzed.



To what extent are cultural values homogeneous within countries?

National Political Cultures?

Previous studies of political culture have assumed the existence of a single, national culture within each country analyzed.



To what extent are cultural values homogeneous within countries?

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.
- Values deemed to be important for children.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.
- Values deemed to be important for children.
- Feelings of trust toward others.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.
- Values deemed to be important for children.
- Feelings of trust toward others.
- Questions about the role of women in society.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.
- Values deemed to be important for children.
- Feelings of trust toward others.
- Questions about the role of women in society.
- Views on national priorities.

Variation in Value Consensus

Using data from the World Values Survey, we measure country-level cultural heterogeneity.

- Stated beliefs serve as a manifestation of cultural values.
 - The statistical model groups together individuals who express similar values into *sub-cultures*.
 - Countries are characterized by a mix of subcultures.

Question battery focuses on *basic* values (not political ideology):

- Assumptions about the “orderliness” of the universe.
- Ideas about how people define their communities.
- Values deemed to be important for children.
- Feelings of trust toward others.
- Questions about the role of women in society.
- Views on national priorities.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.
 - Care deeply about religion yet tolerant of others; care a great deal about work; respect for authority; concerned with crime.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.
 - Care deeply about religion yet tolerant of others; care a great deal about work; respect for authority; concerned with crime.
- Category 3: *Religious but intolerant (and misogynistic)*

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.
 - Care deeply about religion yet tolerant of others; care a great deal about work; respect for authority; concerned with crime.
- Category 3: *Religious but intolerant (and misogynistic)*
 - Modal category for Arab countries; also in Ghana and Georgia.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.
 - Care deeply about religion yet tolerant of others; care a great deal about work; respect for authority; concerned with crime.
- Category 3: *Religious but intolerant (and misogynistic)*
 - Modal category for Arab countries; also in Ghana and Georgia.
 - Care deeply about religion; respect for authority; discriminatory; concerned about jobs and employment; misogynistic; uncritically positive toward technology and competition.

Seven Cultural Types

- Category 1: *Work hard, no war*
 - Modal citizen type in Germany and Russia and one of two comparably-sized modes in Japan.
 - Do not care about leisure time; politics does not matter much; value hardwork in children; unwilling to fight in a war.
- Category 2: *Follow authority, religious but tolerant*
 - Modal citizen type in most Latin America countries but also well represented in the US, Canada, Britain and South Africa.
 - Care deeply about religion yet tolerant of others; care a great deal about work; respect for authority; concerned with crime.
- Category 3: *Religious but intolerant (and misogynistic)*
 - Modal category for Arab countries; also in Ghana and Georgia.
 - Care deeply about religion; respect for authority; discriminatory; concerned about jobs and employment; misogynistic; uncritically positive toward technology and competition.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*

- Category 4: *Don't knows*

- Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*

- Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
- Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.
 - Most willing to fight in wars; less misogynistic than category 3 but otherwise similar.


- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.
 - Most willing to fight in wars; less misogynistic than category 3 but otherwise similar.
- Category 7: *Post-materialists*

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.
 - Most willing to fight in wars; less misogynistic than category 3 but otherwise similar.
- Category 7: *Post-materialists*
 - Modal category in Sweden and Norway, OECD countries.


- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.
 - Most willing to fight in wars; less misogynistic than category 3 but otherwise similar.
- Category 7: *Post-materialists*
 - Modal category in Sweden and Norway, OECD countries.
 - Values independent children and imagination; tolerant; believes friends are important; believes in protecting the environment; cares less about material things.

- Category 4: *Don't knows*
 - Typically the smallest of the types in any given country.
 - Frequently responds “don't know;” judged by the interviewer to not be paying attention.
- Category 5: *Materialists*
 - Modal category in Hong Kong, Thailand, Serbia and Ethiopia.
 - Cares about a stable economy, growth but not making cities beautiful; cares little about charitable giving.
- Category 6: *Order-seeking nationalists*
 - Modal respondent type in Indonesia, Iran and Turkey but also common in Georgia, Rwanda, Ghana and Vietnam.
 - Most willing to fight in wars; less misogynistic than category 3 but otherwise similar.
- Category 7: *Post-materialists*
 - Modal category in Sweden and Norway, OECD countries.
 - Values independent children and imagination; tolerant; believes friends are important; believes in protecting the environment; cares less about material things.


Distribution of Types across Countries




Cultural Fractionalization across Countries



Distance between Types



Validation of Measure



Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.
 - Colonialism.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.
 - Colonialism.
 - The Slave Trade.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.
 - Colonialism.
 - The Slave Trade.
 - Disease Exchange.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.
 - Colonialism.
 - The Slave Trade.
 - Disease Exchange.
 - Military conquest.

Toward an Explanation for Heterogeneity

What explains variation in cultural fractionalization?

- Initial conditions related to geography.
 - The number of “cultures” related to latitude, temperature and rainfall (Collard and Foley 2002).
 - Land endowment creates ethnic groups (Michaelopolis 2012).
 - Cultural drift along “continental axes” (Diamond 1997).
 - Geographic isolation and development (Ashraf et al. 2010).
- Cultural transmission after 1500 CE.
 - Migration.
 - Colonialism.
 - The Slave Trade.
 - Disease Exchange.
 - Military conquest.

Genetic Diversity

What is the relationship between genetic diversity and cultural fractionalization?

Genetic Diversity

What is the relationship between genetic diversity and cultural fractionalization?

	<i>Model 1</i>	<i>Model 2</i>	<i>Model 3</i>	<i>Model 4</i>
Predicted Genetic Diversity	1.825 (1.269)	2.359 (1.255)	0.344 (0.207)	0.439 (0.207)
Constant	-0.707 (0.928)	-1.035 (0.910)	-0.481 (0.641)	-0.395 (0.626)
Region Fixed Effects	N	Y	N	Y
Observations	43	43	43	43
R-squared	0.048	0.204	0.063	0.197

Conclusions

- Rather than assuming within-country cultural consensus, we treat every country as a mixture of subcultures that are shared across the world.

Conclusions

- Rather than assuming within-country cultural consensus, we treat every country as a mixture of subcultures that are shared across the world.
- Beliefs cluster into seven broad types.

Conclusions

- Rather than assuming within-country cultural consensus, we treat every country as a mixture of subcultures that are shared across the world.
- Beliefs cluster into seven broad types.
- Value consensus varies substantially across countries.

Conclusions

- Rather than assuming within-country cultural consensus, we treat every country as a mixture of subcultures that are shared across the world.
- Beliefs cluster into seven broad types.
- Value consensus varies substantially across countries.
- Cultural fractionalization is associated with higher levels of genetic diversity with additional tests to consider hypotheses related to geography and migration.

Conclusions

- Rather than assuming within-country cultural consensus, we treat every country as a mixture of subcultures that are shared across the world.
- Beliefs cluster into seven broad types.
- Value consensus varies substantially across countries.
- Cultural fractionalization is associated with higher levels of genetic diversity with additional tests to consider hypotheses related to geography and migration.